

Notice of Intent to Award
Request for Proposal HSR 13-57
Design-Build Contract for Construction Package 2-3

BOARD MEMBERS

Dan Richard

CHAIR

Thomas Richards

VICE CHAIR

Jim Hartnett

VICE CHAIR

Richard Frank

**Patrick
W. Henning, Sr.**

**Katherine
Perez-Estolano**

Michael Rossi

Lynn Schenk

Thea Selby

Jeff Morales

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that subject to Authority Board and FRA Approval, the California High-Speed Rail Authority intends to award the contract for design-build services for Construction Package 2-3 to the entity as follows:

Dragados/Flatiron/Shimmick
3200 Park Center Drive, Suite 600
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

This notice constitutes the public announcement of the notice of intent to award the contract for purposes of Instructions to Proposers, Forms and Certifications, Section 6.12, and more specifically 6.12.3.

Questions should be directed to:

Tawnya Southern, Attorney
California High-Speed Rail Authority
770 L Street, Suite 1160
Sacramento, CA 95814
tawnya.southern@hsr.ca.gov
Phone: 916-669-6602

Date of Notice of Intent to Award: December 15, 2014

(Apparent Best Value notice attached)

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR





December 11, 2014

Apparent Best Value Request for Proposal HSR 13-57: Design-Build Contract for Construction Package 2-3

Proposer	Total Proposal Price - TPP	Price Proposal Score – PPS (maximum 70 points)	Technical Proposal Score - TPS (maximum 30 points)	Total Proposal Score
1. Dragados/ Flatiron/ Shimmick	1,234,567,890	70	26.67	96.67
2. Tutor Perini/Zachry/ Parsons, a Joint Venture	1,739,700,000	49.68	26.61	76.29
3. Golden State Rail Partnership	2,065,644,000	41.84	25.47	67.31

The above matrix identifies the Total Proposal Scores for determining the Apparent Best Value Proposer.

The California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) has determined that Dragados/Flatiron/ Shimmick is the Apparent Best Value Proposer. The Authority will proceed with the procurement with the Apparent Best Value Proposer. If the Authority is unable to achieve final contract award with the Apparent Best Value Proposer, it may proceed with the next most highly ranked Proposer.

Due to the ongoing procurement, no further information will be disclosed at this time.